Submission ID: 26891

Please note the points below, I believe they are all relevant and as such they represent a summary of my concerns regarding the expansion of Gatwick airport.

- 1. Assess worse case for environmental impact of surface transport, noise, air pollution and climate change.
- Increase from 40.9m in 2023 to 80.2m in 2047 is an increase of nearly around 39 million passengers per annum (mppa). Gatwick Airport Ltd (GAL) has compared environmental impacts against a future baseline of 67 mppa in 2047, just 1/3 of this increase.
- Environmental Assessment guidance is that assessment should be against the realistic worse case. This has not been done.
- The modelling, scenarios and actual impacts should be compared to the current situation and future case without any increase in flights or passengers so the full impact of Gatwick expansion is seen.
- 2. Future environmental and local impacts should be no worse than now.
- GAL should model transport scenarios with no car growth and no worse crowding on rail network (noting luggage space too). This would mean new train services to/from airport and potentially between London and the South Coast elsewhere.
- Local traffic congestion and parking impacts in and around Gatwick should not be worse.
- As well as traffic there should be no increased impacts on air pollution, noise, flood impact, water neutrality.
- 4. The DCO has highlighted that in some areas existing impacts are already unacceptable. These impacts should be accepted as such and reduced and/or eliminated.
- No night flights
- Stronger noise limits and mitigation scheme.
- Address existing poor quality of River Mole, including Gatwick Airport's potential contribution to sewage overflow incidents and downstream flooding.
- 5. Gatwick must take seriously its responsibilities in these areas by agreeing conditions to limit all these impacts as part of a new Section 106 agreement regardless of whether the airport is expanded or not.
- This should limit local road congestion and ensure surface transport modal shift, public and active transport investment, stronger curbs on noise, ban on night flights, air pollution measures, climate impact limits, including from flights.
- 6. Climate change is a significant impact, and should be addressed.
- Gatwick must take responsibility for the emissions of flights from the airport in considering both its current and proposed future climate impact.
- Increasing Gatwick to the size of Heathrow, would make it as big as the UK's single largest climate polluter. GAL's claim that climate impact is not significant is simply not true.
- There is a climate emergency. Aviation must play its part in reducing carbon emissions. This must include constraining demand at the airport level or efficiency savings and tax breaks will continue to drive growth. The airport's expansion should not be supported on climate grounds alone.
- 7. Comments on second round in hearings.
- Not enough time to cover all of the issues on weds 1st may
- The Open Floor Hearing on 2nd May 2024 is on election day. This should be moved if a general election is called on that day, and in any case should be shifted as it clashes with local and mayoral elections.
- The duration for ISH7 on May 1st 2024 may not be sufficient to cover all environmental issues. It is assumed this would be separate from time to follow up on issues covered already (e.g. transport, noise)
- There should be time for follow-up Issue Specific Hearings on the topics covered from 29th February to 6th March.
- 8. Outdated national aviation policy

The Secretary of State should accept that the Aviation National Policy Statement (ANPS, 2018) and Making Better Use of Existing Runways policy (2018) is now out-of-date, specifically with respect to climate change. This should be updated before a decision is made by the Secretary of State.

More concrete, more pollution, more traffic will not improve our quality of life in the South East. It is time we should look at the big picture and genuinely look after the land we live on. We are running out of time!